# **FY25 Pitt Momentum Funds - Teaming Award Guidelines**

#### I. INTENT AND FUNDING PRIORITIES

The Pitt Momentum Funds (PMF) Teaming Awards support the formation of new multidisciplinary collaborations that can pursue large-scale external funding. Example activities may include organizing strategic planning workshops, creating a working paper series, traveling to meet with potential funders' program managers, or inviting potential collaborators for campus visits.

Funds may be used to hire temporary workers, travel, contract with consultants or other technical support. Teaming Grant project budgets are expected to include funding for convenings and travel to meet with program managers at funding agencies or foundations to further refine that funding strategy. While purchase of software, consumables, or instrumentation needed to successfully obtain early results are allowable, teaming proposals should clearly emphasize project ideation, planning and formation of collaborations.

## Teaming Awards *are not* intended for:

- Curriculum development and student-centered projects.
- Salary support or supplement salaries for either Pitt faculty or external co-investigators.
- Organization of conferences.
- Bridge funding between projects.

### **II. AWARD AMOUNT**

Teaming Awards provide up to \$60,000 for one-year of research support.

#### III. ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

- Awardees from the immediate previous funding cycle *may not* apply to the same awardtype they were awarded funds for previously (e.g., Teaming awardees from FY24 cannot apply for a Teaming Award in FY25). This applies to all PMF award types.
- Faculty can join only one Teaming or Scaling Award proposal submission at a time (either as a PI or co-PI).
- Teaming applicant teams must include faculty from at least three schools.
  - The Dietrich School of Arts and Sciences (DSAS) divisions of the Humanities, Natural Sciences, and Social Sciences will each be considered as separate "schools" for the purpose of this eligibility test.
  - A team may not be comprised of faculty exclusively from DSAS.
  - A team *may not* be comprised of faculty exclusively from Health Science schools.

1

Up to one collaborator from an HBCU, non-R1 minority-serving institution (MSI), government agency, or local 501(c)(3) organization *may be* credited as a co-investigator for the purpose of this eligibility test if their organization has the capacity to join Pitt in large-scale, follow-on funding proposals. In the case of co-investigators at community-based non-profits, modest stipends to enable participation will be considered on a case-by-case basis.



#### IV. APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS

Application for the PMF Teaming Awards requires the submission of an initial Expression of Intent (EOI) via InfoReady and must include:

- 1. Project title
- 2. Brief abstract (150 words)
- 3. List of faculty investigators
- 4. Keywords (5)

Once the EOI has been accepted, applicants are invited to submit a full application that includes.

- 1. Name of primary applicant
- 2. Pitt email address (assigned pitt.edu address, not your alias)
- 3. Primary department
- 4. Appointment type
- 5. Full title
- 6. Year of terminal degree
- 7. Name of department administrator
- 8. Email for department administrator
- 9. Name(s) of any Co-Applicant(s)
- 10. Primary department of any Co-Applicant(s)
- 11. Project statement (2.5-page max)

The statement should describe the significance of the project and its intended outcomes. The project statement is limited to a maximum of 2.5 pages of text, prepared in 11-point font with .75-inch margins and is to be submitted as a PDF document. An additional page is allowed and may only include relevant figures, images or citations. The statement should include:

- a. a statement of the broader impact the project will have on the field;
- b. a description of the interdisciplinary team and expertise each investigator contributes to the project;
- c. a description of the assembled team, and what expertise each member will allow the project to be successful;
- d. the methodology and workplan to be followed;
- e. a brief budget justification;
- f. and a detailed strategy of the follow-on funding to be pursued to advance the project (with specific examples).
- 12. Letter of commitment from Associate Dean for Research

The letter describes the in-kind or other financial commitments being made by the department to support the success of the project. The Teaming Awards require the letter to describe the transdisciplinary team applying for the award. Additionally, the letter must be co-signed by the appropriate leadership of all the co-investigators. If the primary applicant is an Associate Dean for Research, the letter must come from the Dean of the school representing the primary applicant

13. Budget

Applicants must use the PMF Budget Template to detail the projected expenses for the proposal. Applicants will provide the total of the Teaming Award budget as a whole number, rounded up to nearest \$100.



# **FY25 Pitt Momentum Funds - Teaming Award Guidelines**

Applicants with competitive proposals for Teaming Awards will be invited to give a 15-minute pitch session (10-minute presentation, 5-minute Q&A) to their respective selection committees.

#### **V. TIMELINE**

## All items are to be submitted by 12:00 PM Eastern Time by the dates below.

August 2024	PMF 2025 Awards Announced
September 3 & 4, 2024	Virtual Information Sessions with Pitt's OSVCR and Office of the Provost
September 27, 2024, <b>by 12pm</b>	Deadline to submit <b>required</b> Expression of Intent (EOI) for Teaming Awards
November 1, 2024, <b>by 12pm</b>	Deadline to submit full applications for Teaming Awards
January 2025	Pitch practice sessions for Teaming Awards
January 2025	Pitch sessions for Teaming Awards
Mid-February 2025	PMF Teaming awardees announced

### VI. REVIEW CRITERIA AND SCORING RUBRIC

The Teaming Awards are reviewed by faculty peers from the University of Pittsburgh using the following rubric and weights. The rubric sums to a possible 10 points for the most meritorious of proposals and a possible low score of zero for proposals not aligned with intent of the awards.

### A. Significance of the Project and Intended Outcomes

To what extent does the proposed project suggest and explore creative, original, or potentially transformative concepts? Proposals should describe novel research or projects that advance scholarship and merit in their respected field. The significance of the proposed project is: [score 0 (low) - 2 (high)]

- 0 = Not Competitive
- 1 = Fair
- 2 = Strong

### B. Proposal's Clarity of Expression

How well does the proposal describe the intended work? Does the proposal convey confidence that the team can lead the effort? Proposals should provide clarity of expression in describing the intended goals and be well-written and concise. The clarity of the proposed project is: [score 0 (low) - 3 (high)]

- 0 = Not described at all; significant questions exist about the intended work
- 1 = Modestly described; questions exist about the intended work
- 2 = Described well; few questions exist about the intended work
- 3 = Described very well; there are no questions about the intended work

### C. Feasibility of the Project

Is there a plan in place to carry out the proposed project? Proposals should detail a well-developed, well-organized plan that considers cost, design and the methodology to



# **FY25 Pitt Momentum Funds - Teaming Award Guidelines**

achieve the stated outcomes and goals. The feasibility of the proposed project is: [score 0 (low) - 2 (high)]

- 0 = Not Competitive
- 1 = Good
- 2 = Excellent

## D. Follow-on Scholarship and Funding

Does the proposal explain the applicant's strategy for pursuing follow-on funding? Have one or more specific agencies or foundations been identified for funding opportunities? The follow-on plan of the proposed project is: [score 0 (low) - 3 (high)]

- 0 = Absent or not entirely clear
- 1 = Explained
- 2 = Credible and clear
- 3 = Thoroughly explained and compelling

## E. Funding Recommendation

Should this proposal be funded? If partial funding is recommended, provide a suggested funding level. *If your scores from the preceding questions sum to less than 6, then do not recommend the project for full or partial funding.* The funding suggestion for the described project is:

- Do not fund
- Partially fund
- Fully fund

## F. Reviewer Comments (to be shared with applicant)

Please provide specific feedback on the proposed project. Comments should focus on the strengths or weaknesses; significance of the project on the broader area of study; the appropriateness of the proposed budget; and the potential for impact. All comments should be constructive and intended to provide supportive feedback to the applicant.

